1 ROBERT R. POWELL, ESQ. CSB#159747 DENNIS R. INGOLS, ESQ. CSB#236458 2 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT R. POWELL 925 West Hedding Street 3 San José, California 95126 *E-FILED - 2/7/11* T: 408-553-0200 F: 408-553-0203 4 rpowell@rrpassociates.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 TRACY WATSON, RENEE STALKER, Case No. C06-4029 RMW 11 PAM STALKER as Guardian Ad Litem for O.S., S.W., and R.W., minors, 12 Plaintiffs, STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL AND ORDER 13 VS. 14 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, CITY 15 OF SANJOSE, et. al., 16 Defendants. 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiffs Tracy Watson and Renee Stalker, 18 19 and Pam Stalker, as guardian ad litem for O.S., S.W., and R.W. and the County of Santa Clara, 20 as well as all individually named defendants employed by the County of Santa Clara at or 21 during the time of the allegations contained in the Fourth Amended Complaint in this action 22 (specifically including, but not limited to, defendant-employees Norma Sparks, Linda Baum, 23 Sharon Burgan, Jewels Ramirez, Yazmina Letona, Linda Castaldi, Vu Tran, Rima Singh, 24 25 Aaron West, John Hamilton, Anita Noble, Janet Kahle, Mary Ritter, and David Kearns), that 26 the Fourth Amended Complaint, including all claims and affirmative defenses, are hereby 27 dismissed by Plaintiffs with prejudice. 28 Stipulation for Dismissal

Stipulation for Dismissal Watson-Stalker v. Santa Clara County Case No. C06-4029 RMW

Case 5:06-cv-04029-RMW Document 426 Filed 02/07/11 Page 2 of 2

1	The Court has already approved the Petition for Minor's Compromise in this matter and
2	the parties stipulate and request that a dismissal with prejudice should be entered at this time.
3	Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees.
4	
5	
6	D. 1. 10 (20 (10)
7	Dated: 12/22/10
8	Attorney for Plaintiffs
9	
0	Dated: 12/22/10 /s/ Melissa R. Kiniyalocts Mulicas B. Kiniyalocts
1	Melissa R. Kiniyalocts Attorney for County of Santa Clara
13	And Individually Named Defendant Employees of County of Santa Clara
4 1	
14 15	ORDER
	ORDER
15	ORDER All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and
15	
15 16 17	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and
15 16 17 18	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees.
15 16 17 18	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees. IT IS SO ORDERED.
15 16 17 18 19 20	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees.
15 16 17 18 19 19 10 11 11 11 11 11	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/7/11 Amald M. Whyte United States District Court
15 16 17 18 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/7/11 Ronald M. Whyte
15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/7/11 Amald M. Whyte United States District Court
15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	All of plaintiffs' claims in the 4 th Amended Complaint against the County of Santa Clara and all defendant-employees of the County of Santa Clara are dismissed with prejudice. Each party will bear his or her own costs and attorneys' fees. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/7/11 Amald M. Whyte United States District Court

28